Errata group 1: Complex integration



$$\int_{L} z dz = \int_{3}^{-1} (2 + iy) i dy \qquad z = x + iy \quad dz = i dy$$
$$= 2i - \frac{y^{2}}{2} \Big|_{3}^{-1} = 2i + 4$$

Augustin-Louis Cauchy 1789-1857



Analytic combinatorics context: *Immediately* gives exponential growth for meromorphic GFs

Errata group 1: Complex integration [correction]



Much better approach. Complex integration works exactly as expected. Theorem. (cf. Stein, Theorem 3.2) If a continuous antiderivative F and γ is a curve from w_1 to w_2 the

$$\int_{L} z dz = \frac{z^2}{2} \Big|_{2+3i}^{2-i} = \frac{1}{2} \left((2-i)^2 - (2+3i)^2 \right)$$

$$\frac{dz = idy}{\left(2iy - \frac{y^2}{2}\right)\Big|_3^{-1} = -8i + 4$$

s function f has an
$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = F(w_2) - F(w_1)$$

$$= -8i + 4$$



Errata group 1: Complex integration (continued)



$$\int_{L_{1}} z dz = \int_{-4}^{2} x dx + 3i = \frac{x^{2}}{2} \Big|_{-4}^{2} + 3i = -6 + 3i$$

$$\int_{L_{2}} z dz = \int_{3}^{-1} (2 + iy)i dy = 2i - \frac{y^{2}}{2} \Big|_{3}^{-1} = 2i + 4$$

$$z = x + iy \quad dz = i dy$$

$$\int_{L_{3}} z dz = \int_{2}^{-4} x dx - i = \frac{x^{2}}{2} \Big|_{2}^{-4} - i = 6 - i$$

$$\int_{L_{4}} z dz = \int_{-1}^{3} (-4 + iy)i dy = -4i - \frac{y^{2}}{2} \Big|_{-1}^{3} = -4i - 4$$

$$= \int_{L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}+L_{4}} z dz = -6 + 3i + 2i + 4y + 6 - i - 4i - 4 = 0 \quad (!)$$

$$z = re^{i\theta}$$
 $dz = ire^{i\theta}d\theta$

ircle centered at 0
$$\int_C z dz = ir^2 \int_0^{2\pi} e^{2i\theta} d\theta = \frac{e^{2i\theta}}{2i} \Big|_0^{2\pi} = \frac{1}{2i}(1-1) = 0$$

Ex 3. Integrate f(z) = 1/z on a circle centered at 0 $\int_C \frac{dz}{z} = i \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta = 2\pi i$



Errata group 1: Complex integration [improved]

Ex 1. Integrate f(z) = z on a rectangle



$$\int_{L_1} z dz = \frac{z^2}{2} \Big|_a^b = \frac{b^2}{2} - \frac{a^2}{2}$$

$$\int_{L_2} z dz = \frac{z^2}{2} \Big|_b^c = \frac{c^2}{2} - \frac{b^2}{2}$$

$$\int_{L_3} z dz = \frac{z^2}{2} \Big|_c^d = \frac{d^2}{2} - \frac{c^2}{2}$$

$$\int_{L_4} z dz = \frac{z^2}{2} \Big|_d^a = \frac{a^2}{2} - \frac{d^2}{2}$$

$$\int_{L_4} z dz = \int z dz = 0$$

$$\int_{L_1} z dz = \frac{z^2}{2} \Big|_a^b = \frac{b^2}{2} - \frac{a^2}{2}$$

$$\int_{L_2} z dz = \frac{z^2}{2} \Big|_b^c = \frac{c^2}{2} - \frac{b^2}{2}$$

$$\int_{L_3} z dz = \frac{z^2}{2} \Big|_c^d = \frac{d^2}{2} - \frac{c^2}{2}$$

$$\int_{L_4} z dz = \frac{z^2}{2} \Big|_d^a = \frac{a^2}{2} - \frac{d^2}{2}$$

$$\int_R z dz =$$

 $\int_{L_1+L_2+L_3+L_4}$



A new question was posted by Eric Neyman (April 2017).

Residues Calculated Incorrectly

I believe that every residue in the lectures is calculated incorrectly (off by a sign). The formula given for the residue is $\$-\frac{f(\alpha)}{a}$ {g'(\alpha)}\$\$, but this is incorrect. It should be \$\$\frac{f(\alpha)} $\{g'(\alpha)\}$. The error seems to be traceable back to Slide 59 of the <u>Poles lecture slides</u>, where instead of writing ${\frac{1}{z - \lambda}}{z - \lambda}$ $\$, \$\frac{h_{-1}}{\lambda - z}$ was written. But in fact the way residues are defined in Slide 51 (as in $\{-1\}$, z_0). I think that the reason that the asymptotics in the examples are right is because the constant \$\$c\$\$, which is claimed to be \$\$\frac{h_{-1}}{\alpha} \$\$, really should be $(-1)^M \int \frac{1}{-1}}{\lambda m} = \frac{1}{1}}$ mistakes cancel out.

plazza

Errata group 2: Residues



Theorem. Suppose that h(z) = f(z)/g(z) is meromorphic in $|z| \le R$ and analytic both at z = 0and at all points |z| = R. If α is a unique closest pole to the origin of h(z) in R, then α is real and $[z^N] \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \sim c\beta^N N^{M-1}$ where *M* is the order of α , $c = (-1)^M \frac{Mf(\alpha)}{\alpha^M g^{(M)}(\alpha)}$ and $\beta = 1/\alpha$. • Series expansion (valid near α): $h(z) = \frac{h_{-1}}{\alpha - z} + h_0 + h_1(\alpha - z) + h_2(\alpha - z)^2 + \dots$ elementary from Pringsheim's ar • One way to calculate constant: $h_{-1} = \lim_{z \to \alpha} (\alpha - z)h(z)$ • Approximation at α : $h(z) \sim \frac{h_{-1}}{\alpha - z} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{h_{-1}}{1 - z/\alpha} = \frac{h_{-1}}{\alpha} \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{z^n}{\alpha^n}$ should be $z - \alpha$ everywe leads to numerous significant starts in the second starts in the elementary from Pringsheim's and **X** should be $z-\alpha$ everywhere leads to numerous sign errors in later slides similar error on p. 256 in book



6



$$f_n = \frac{C}{(r-1)!} \alpha_1^{-n+r} n^{r-1} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right) \quad \text{with} \quad C = \lim_{z \to \alpha_1} (z - \alpha_1)^r f(z).$$

This is certainly the most direct illustration of the Second Principle: under the assumptions, a one-term asymptotic expansion of the function at its dominant singularity suffices to determine the asymptotic form of the coefficients. \triangleleft

> f(z) rational with a single dominant pole α $[z^N]f(z) = \frac{\beta^N N^{M-1}}{(M-1)!\alpha^M} \lim_{z \to \alpha} (\alpha - z)^M f(z)$ where $\beta = 1/\alpha$ and M is the multiplicity of α

X should be $\alpha_1 - z$







h(z) meromorphic with a single dominant pole α $[z^N]h(z) = \frac{(-1)^N}{\alpha^M g}$ where $\beta = 1/\alpha$ and M is the multiplicity of α

$$\frac{MMf(\alpha)}{g^{(M)}(\alpha)}\beta^N N^{M-1}$$

